HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.2230 of 2007

Dated:01.03.2007

Between:

Karamala Sultan Salauddin

…Petitioner

and

The Joint Collector and others.

…Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.2230 of 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner is allegedly the owner of land in Survey No.804/1 admeasuring Acs.3.71 cents situated at Rayachoti Village in Kadapa District. Pursuant to the decree in A.S.No.439 of 1972 on the file of this Court, the petitioner made a request and approached the third respondent for subdivision of the land. The land was subdivided into 804/1 and 804/2. Aggrieved by the same, the fourth respondent appears to have filed an appeal before the second respondent, which was allowed. The petitioner approached the second respondent by way of a review petition, which was allowed holding that the petitioner is the title holder of the land. Again the fourth respondent filed appeal before the first respondent, which was allowed on 07.03.1998, and the matter was remitted to the third respondent, who by order dated 22.07.2004 declared the father of the fourth respondent as owner of the land. The petitioner preferred an appeal before the second respondent, which was dismissed on 18.04.2005. Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner preferred an appeal before the first respondent on 01.09.2006, and also asked for stay of the proceedings of the second respondent, in vain. Therefore, this Writ Petition is filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to pass appropriate orders on the stay application.

The matter was initially heard at the preliminary stage on 08.02.2007. It was adjourned to enable the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue (General-A) to get instructions in the matter. Today when the matter is called, the learned Assistant Government Pleader on instructions received

by way of fax message submits that the first respondent has already disposed of the matter on 07.02.2007 directing the Assistant Director of Survey and Land Records to take necessary action. In view of this, nothing survives in the Writ Petition.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

01.03.2007
vs